


Exposure Draft

Accounting Standard for Local Bodies (ASLB) 39

Employee Benefits

(Based on corresponding IPSAS 39)

(Last date of comments: November 28, 2019)



Issued by

The Committee on Public and Government Financial Management
The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India

New Delhi

Exposure Draft ASLB 39-Employee Benefits

CONTENTS

	Paragraphs
Objective	1
Scope	2-7
Definitions	8
Short-Term Employee Benefits	9-25
Recognition and Measurement	11-24
Disclosure	25
Post-employment Benefits-Distinction between Defined	
Contribution Plans and Defined Benefit Plans	26-51
Multi-Employer Plans	32-39
Defined Benefit Plans where the Participating Entities under	
Common Control	40-43
State Plans	44-47
Insured Benefits	48-51
Post-employment Benefits-Defined Contribution Plans	52-56
Recognition and Measurement	53-54
Disclosure	55-56
Post-employment Benefits-Defined Benefit Plans	57-154
Recognition and Measurement	58-67
Recognition and Measurement-Present Value of Defined	
Past Service Cost and Gains and Losses on Settlement	101 – 114
Recognition and Measurement-Plan Assets	115-121
Components of Defined Benefit Cost	122 – 132
Presentation	133-136
Disclosure	137 – 154
Other Long-Term Employee Benefits	155-161
Recognition and Measurement	158-160
Disclosure	161
Termination Benefits	162-174
Recognition	168-171
Measurement	172-173

Disclosure	174
Appendix A: Application Guidance	
Appendix 1 Comparison with IPSAS 39, ' <i>Employee Benefits</i> '	
Appendix 2 Comparison with Existing AS 15, ' <i>Employee Benefits</i> '	

Exposure Draft

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FOR LOCAL BODIES 39

Employee Benefits

INVITATION TO COMMENT

The Committee on Public and Government Financial Management (CP&GFM) of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) invites comments on any aspect of this Exposure Draft of Accounting Standard for Local Bodies (ASLB) 39, 'Employee Benefits'. Comments are most helpful if they indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate, contain a clear rationale and, where applicable, provide a suggestion for alternative wording.

Comments should be submitted in writing to the Secretary, Committee on Public and Government Financial Management, The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, ICAI Bhawan, Post Box No. 7100, Indraprastha Marg, New Delhi – 110 002, so as to be received not later than November 28, 2019. Comments can also be sent by e-mail at caslb@icai.in and cpf.aslb@icai.in

Exposure Draft

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FOR LOCAL BODIES 39

Employee Benefits

*(This Accounting Standard includes paragraphs set in **bold italic** type and plain type, which have equal authority. Paragraphs in bold italic type indicate the main principles. This Accounting Standard should be read in the context of its objective and the "Preface to Accounting Standards for Local Bodies"¹.)*

The Accounting Standards for Local Bodies (ASLB) 39, 'Employee Benefits', issued by the Council of the Institute of the Chartered Accountants of India, will be recommendatory in nature in the initial years for use by the local bodies. This Standard will be mandatory for local bodies in a State from the date specified in this regard by the State Government concerned².

The following is the text of the Accounting Standards for Local Bodies:

¹Attention is specifically drawn to paragraph 4.2 of the 'Preface to Accounting Standards for Local Bodies', according to which Accounting Standards are intended to apply only to items which are material.

²Reference may be made to the paragraph 7.1 of the 'Preface to Accounting Standards for Local Bodies' providing the discussion on the compliance with the Accounting Standards for Local Bodies.

Objective

1. The objective of this Standard is to prescribe the accounting and disclosure for employee benefits. The Standard requires an entity to recognise:
 - (a) A liability when an employee has provided service in exchange for employee benefits to be paid in the future; and
 - (b) An expense when the entity consumes the economic benefits or service potential arising from service provided by an employee in exchange for employee benefits.

Scope

2. ***This Standard should be applied by an employer in accounting for all employee benefits, except share-based transactions.***
3. This Standard does not deal with reporting by employee retirement benefit plans. This Standard does not deal with benefits provided by composite social security programs that are not consideration in exchange for service rendered by employees or past employees of entities.
4. The employee benefits to which this Standard applies include those provided:
 - (a) Under formal plans or other formal agreements between an entity and individual employees, groups of employees, or their representatives;
 - (b) Under legislative requirements, or through industry arrangements, whereby entities are required to contribute to national, state, industry, or other multi-employer plans, or where entities are required to contribute to the composite social security program; or
 - (c) By those informal practices that give rise to a constructive obligation. Informal practices give rise to a constructive obligation where the entity has no realistic alternative but to pay employee benefits. An example of a constructive obligation is where a change in the entity's informal practices would cause unacceptable damage to its relationship with employees.
5. Employee benefits include:
 - (a) Short-term employee benefits, such as following, if expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related services:
 - i. Wages, salaries, and social security contributions;
 - ii. Paid annual leave and paid sick leave;
 - iii. Surplus-sharing and bonuses; and

- iv. Non-monetary benefits (such as medical care, housing, cars, and free or subsidised goods or services) for current employees;
- (b) Post-employment benefits such as the following:
 - i. Retirement benefits (e.g., pensions and lump sum payments on retirement); and
 - ii. Other post-employment benefits, such as post-employment life insurance and post-employment medical care;
 - (c) Other long-term employee benefits, such as the following:
 - i. Long-term paid absences such as long-service leave or sabbatical leave;
 - ii. Jubilee or other long-service benefits; and
 - iii. Long-term disability benefits; and
 - (d) Termination benefits.
6. Employee benefits include benefits provided either to employees or to their dependants, and may be settled by payments (or the provision of goods or services) made either directly to the employees, to their spouses, children, or other dependants, or to others, such as insurance companies.
7. An employee may provide services to an entity on a full-time, part-time, permanent, casual, or temporary basis. For the purpose of this Standard, employees include key management personnel as defined in ASLB 20, ‘*Related Party Disclosures*’.
- 7A.** *This Standard applies to all entities described as local bodies in the Preface to Accounting Standards for Local Bodies³.*

Definitions

8. *The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:*

Definitions of Employee Benefits

Employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for service rendered by employees or for the termination of employment.

Short-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service.

Post-employment benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits and short-term employee benefits) that are payable after the completion of employment.

³ Refer paragraph 1.3 of the ‘*Preface to the Accounting Standards for Local Bodies*’.

Other long-term employee benefits are all employee benefits other than short-term employee benefits, post-employment benefits and termination benefits.

Termination benefits are employee benefits provided in exchange for the termination of an employee's employment as a result of either:

- a) *An entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or*
- b) *An employee's decision to accept an offer of benefits in exchange for the termination of employment.*

Definitions Relating to Classification of Plans

Post-employment benefit plans are formal or informal arrangements under which an entity provides post-employment benefits for one or more employees.

Defined contribution plans are post-employment benefit plans under which an entity pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund), and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.

Defined benefit plans are post-employment benefit plans other than defined contribution plans.

Multi-employer plans are defined contribution plans (other than state plans) or defined benefit plans (other than state plans) that:

- a) *Pool the assets contributed by various entities that are not under common control; and*
- b) *Use those assets to provide benefits to employees of more than one entity, on the basis that contribution and benefit levels are determined without regard to the identity of the entity that employs the employees.*

State plans are plans established by legislation that operate as if they are multi-employer plans for all entities in economic categories laid down in legislation.

Definitions Relating to the Net Defined Benefit Liability (Asset)

The net defined benefit liability (asset) is the deficit or surplus, adjusted for any effect of limiting a net defined benefit asset to the asset ceiling.

The deficit or surplus is:

- a) *The present value of a defined benefit obligation less*
- b) *The fair value of plan assets (if any).*

The asset ceiling is the present value of any economic benefits available in the form of refunds from the plan or reductions in future contributions to the plan.

The present value of a defined benefit obligation is the present value, without deducting any plan assets, of expected future payments required to settle the obligation resulting from employee service in the current and prior periods.

Plan assets comprise:

- (a) Assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and*
- (b) Qualifying insurance policies.*

Assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund are assets (other than non-transferable financial instruments⁴ issued by the reporting entity) that:

- (a) Are held by an entity (a fund) that is legally separate from the reporting entity and exists solely to pay or fund employee benefits; and*
- (b) Are available to be used only to pay or fund employee benefits, are not available to the reporting entity's own creditors (even in bankruptcy), and cannot be returned to the reporting entity, unless either:*
 - (i) The remaining assets of the fund are sufficient to meet all the related employee benefit obligations of the plan or the reporting entity; or*
 - (ii) The assets are returned to the reporting entity to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.*

A qualifying insurance policy is an insurance policy⁵ issued by an insurer that is not a related party (as defined in ASLB 20) of the reporting entity, if the proceeds of the policy:

- (a) Can be used only to pay or fund employee benefits under a defined benefit plan; and*
- (b) Are not available to the reporting entity's own creditors (even in bankruptcy) and cannot be paid to the reporting entity, unless either :*
 - (i) The proceeds represent surplus assets that are not needed for the policy to meet all the related employee benefit obligations; or*

⁴ The guidance with regard to financial instruments may be obtained from other corresponding pronouncements as per the hierarchy prescribed in paragraph 15 of the ASLB 3, 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates, and Errors'.

⁵ A qualifying insurance policy is not necessarily an insurance contract. The guidance in this regard may be obtained from other corresponding pronouncements as per the hierarchy prescribed in paragraph 15 of the ASLB 3, 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates, and Errors'.

- (ii) *The proceeds are returned to the reporting entity to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.*

Definitions Relating to Defined Benefit Cost

Service cost comprises:

- a) *Current service cost, which is the increase in the present value of the defined benefit obligation resulting from employee service in the current period;*
- b) *Past service cost, which is the change in the present value of the defined benefit obligation for employee service in prior periods, resulting from a prior amendment (the introduction or withdrawal of, or changes to, defined benefit plan) or a curtailment (significant reduction by the entity in the number of employees covered by a plan); and*
- c) *Any gain or loss on settlement.*

Net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) is the change during the period in the net defined benefit liability (asset) that arises from the passage of time.

Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) comprise:

- a) *Actuarial gains and losses;*
- b) *The return on plan assets, excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset); and*
- c) *Any change in the effect of the asset ceiling, excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset).*

Actuarial gains and losses are changes in the present value of the defined benefit obligation resulting from:

- (a) *Experience adjustments (the effects of differences between the previous actuarial assumptions and what has actually occurred); and*
- (b) *The effects of changes in actuarial assumptions.*

The return on plan assets is interest, dividends or similar distributions and other revenue derived from the plan assets, together with realised and unrealised gains or losses on the plan assets, less:

- a) *Any costs of managing the plan assets; and*
- b) *Any tax payable by the plan itself, other than tax included in the actuarial assumptions used to measure the present value of the defined benefit obligation.*

*A **settlement** is a transaction that eliminates all further legal or constructive obligations for part or all of the benefits provided under a defined benefit plan, other than a payment of benefits to, or on behalf of, employees that is set out in the terms of the plan and included in the actuarial assumptions.*

Short-Term Employee Benefits

9. Short-term employee benefits include items such as the following, if expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related employee service:
 - (a) Wages, salaries, and social security contributions;
 - (b) Paid annual leave and paid sick leave;
 - (c) surplus-sharing and bonuses; and
 - (d) Non-monetary benefits (such as medical care, housing, cars, and free or subsidised goods or services) for current employees.
10. An entity need not reclassify a short-term employee benefit if the entity's expectations of the timing of settlement change temporarily. However, if the characteristics of the benefit change (such as a change from a non-accumulating benefit to an accumulating benefit) or if a change in expectations of the timing of settlement is not temporary, then the entity considers whether the benefit still meets the definition of short-term employee benefits.

Recognition and Measurement

All Short-Term Employee Benefits

11. *When an employee has rendered service to an entity during an accounting period, the entity should recognise the undiscounted amount of short-term employee benefits expected to be paid in exchange for that service:*
 - (a) *As a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any amount already paid. If the amount already paid exceeds the undiscounted amount of the benefits, an entity should recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund.*
 - (b) *As an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the benefits in the cost of an asset (see, for example, ASLB 12, 'Inventories', and ASLB 17, 'Property, Plant, and Equipment').*
12. *Paragraphs 13, 16, and 19 explain how an entity should apply paragraph 11 to short-term employee benefits in the form of paid absences and surplus-sharing and bonus plans.*

Short-Term Paid Absences

13. ***An entity should recognise the expected cost of short-term employee benefits in the form of paid absences under paragraph 11 as follows:***
 - (a) ***In the case of accumulating compensated absences, when the employees render service that increases their entitlement to future compensated absences; and***
 - (b) ***In the case of non-accumulating compensated absences, when the absences occur.***
14. An entity may compensate employees for absence for various reasons, including holidays, sickness and short-term disability, maternity or paternity, jury service, and military service. Entitlement to compensated absences falls into two categories:
 - (a) Accumulating; and
 - (b) Non-accumulating.
15. Accumulating compensated absences are those that are carried forward and can be used in future periods if the current period's entitlement is not used in full. Accumulating compensated absences may be either vesting (in other words, employees are entitled to a cash payment for unused entitlement on leaving the entity) or non-vesting (when employees are not entitled to a cash payment for unused entitlement on leaving). An obligation arises as employees render service that increases their entitlement to future paid absences. The obligation exists, and is recognised, even if the paid absences are non-vesting, although the possibility that employees may leave before they use an accumulated non-vesting entitlement affects the measurement of that obligation.
16. ***An entity should measure the expected cost of accumulating compensated absences as the additional amount that the entity expects to pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at the reporting period.***
17. The method specified in the previous paragraph measures the obligation at the amount of the additional payments that are expected to arise solely from the fact that the benefit accumulates. In many cases, an entity may not need to make detailed computations to estimate that there is no material obligation for unused paid absences. For example, a sick leave obligation is likely to be material only if there is a formal or informal understanding that unused paid sick leave may be taken as paid annual leave.
18. Non-accumulating paid absences do not carry forward; they lapse if the current period's entitlement is not used in full and do not entitle employees to a cash payment for unused entitlement on leaving the entity. This is commonly the case for sick pay (to the extent that unused past entitlement does not increase future entitlement), maternity or paternity leave, and paid absences for jury service or military service. An entity recognises no liability or expense until the time of the absence, because employee service does not increase the amount of the benefit.

Surplus-Sharing and Bonus Plans

19. ***An entity should recognise the expected cost of surplus-sharing and bonus payments under paragraph 11 when, and only when:***
- (a) ***The entity has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events; and***

(b) ***A reliable estimate of the obligation can be made.***

A present obligation exists when, and only when, the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments.

20. In the local bodies, some entities have bonus plans that are related to service delivery objectives or aspects of financial performance. Under such plans, employees receive specified amounts, dependent on an assessment of their contribution to the achievement of the objectives of the entity or a segment of the entity. In some cases, such plans may be for groups of employees, such as when performance is evaluated for all or some employees in a particular segment, rather than on an individual basis. Because of the objectives of local bodies, surplus-sharing plans are far less common in the local body than for profit-oriented entities. However, they are likely to be an aspect of employee remuneration in segments of local bodies that operate on a commercial basis. Some local bodies may not operate surplus-sharing schemes, but may evaluate performance against financially based measures such as the generation of revenue streams and the achievement of budgetary targets. Some bonus plans may entail payments to all employees who rendered employment services in a reporting period, even though they may have left the entity before the reporting date. However, under other bonus plans, employees receive payments only if they remain with the entity for a specified period, for example, a requirement that employees render services for the whole of the reporting period. Such plans create a constructive obligation as employees render service that increases the amount to be paid if they remain in service until the end of the specified period. The measurement of such constructive obligations reflects the possibility that some employees may leave without receiving surplus-sharing payments. Paragraph 22 provides further conditions that are to be satisfied before an entity can recognise the expected cost of performance-related payments, bonus payments, and surplus-sharing payments.
21. An entity may have no legal obligation to pay a bonus. Nevertheless, in some cases, an entity has a practice of paying bonuses. In such cases, the entity has a constructive obligation because the entity has no realistic alternative but to pay the bonus. The measurement of the constructive obligation reflects the possibility that some employees may leave without receiving a bonus.
22. An entity can make a reliable estimate of its legal or constructive obligation under a performance-related payment scheme, bonus plan, or surplus-sharing scheme when, and only when:
- (a) The formal terms of the plan contain a formula for determining the amount of the benefit;

- (b) The entity determines the amounts to be paid before the financial statements are authorised for issue; or
 - (c) Past practice gives clear evidence of the amount of the entity's constructive obligation.
23. An obligation under surplus-sharing plans and bonus plans results from employee service and not from a transaction with the entity's owners. Therefore, an entity recognises the cost of surplus-sharing and bonus plans not as a distribution of surplus but as an expense.
24. If surplus-sharing and bonus payments are not expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service, those payments are other long-term employee benefits (see paragraphs 155-161).

Disclosure

25. Although this Standard does not require specific disclosures about short-term employee benefits, other Standards may require disclosures. For example, ASLB 20 requires disclosures of the aggregate remuneration of key management personnel and ASLB 1, '*Presentation of Financial Statements*' requires the disclosure of information about employee benefits.

Post-employment Benefits-Distinction between Defined Contribution Plans and Defined Benefit Plans

26. Post-employment benefits include such as the following:
- (a) Retirement benefits (e.g., pensions and lump sum payments on retirement); and
 - (b) Other post-employment benefits, such as post-employment life insurance, and post-employment medical care.

Arrangements whereby an entity provides post-employment benefits are post-employment benefit plans. An entity applies this Standard to all such arrangements, whether or not they involve the establishment of a separate entity, such as a pension scheme, superannuation scheme, or retirement benefit scheme, to receive contributions and to pay benefits.

27. Post-employment benefit plans are classified as either defined contribution plans or defined benefit plans, depending on the economic substance of the plan, as derived from its principal terms and conditions.
28. Under defined contribution plans the entity's legal or constructive obligation is limited to the amount that it agrees to contribute to the fund. Thus, the amount of the postemployment benefits received by the employee is determined by the amount of contributions paid by an entity (and perhaps also the employee) to a post-employment

benefit plan or to an insurance company, together with investment returns arising from the contributions. In consequence, actuarial risk (that benefits will be less than expected) and investment risk (that assets invested will be insufficient to meet expected benefits) fall, in substance, on the employee.

29. Examples of cases where an entity's obligation is not limited to the amount that it agrees to contribute to the fund are when the entity has a legal or constructive obligation through:
- (a) A plan benefit formula that is not linked solely to the amount of contributions and requires the entity to provide further contributions if assets are insufficient to meet the benefits in the plan benefit formula;
 - (b) A guarantee, either indirectly through a plan or directly, of a specified return on contributions; or
 - (c) Those informal practices that give rise to a constructive obligation. For example, a constructive obligation may arise where an entity has a history of increasing benefits for former employees to keep pace with inflation, even where there is no legal obligation to do so.
30. Under defined benefit plans:
- (a) The entity's obligation is to provide the agreed benefits to current and former employees; and
 - (b) Actuarial risk (that benefits will cost more than expected) and investment risk fall, in substance, on the entity. If actuarial or investment experience are worse than expected, the entity's obligation may be increased.
31. Paragraphs 32- 51 explain the distinction between defined contribution plans and defined benefit plans in the context of multi-employer plans, defined benefit plans that share risks between entities under common control, state plans, and insured benefits.

Multi-Employer Plans

32. *An entity should classify a multi-employer plan as a defined contribution plan or a defined benefit plan under the terms of the plan (including any constructive obligation that goes beyond the formal terms).*
33. *If an entity participates in a multi-employer defined benefit plan, unless paragraph 34 applies, it should:*
- (a) *Account for its proportionate share of the defined benefit obligation, plan assets, and cost associated with the plan in the same way as for any other defined benefit plan; and*

- (b) *Disclose the information required by paragraph 137–150 (excluding paragraph 150(d)).*
34. *When sufficient information is not available to use defined benefit accounting for a multi-employer defined benefit plan, an entity should:*
- (a) *Account for the plan in accordance with paragraphs 53 and 54 as if it were a defined contribution plan; and*
- (b) *Disclose the information required by paragraph 150.*
35. One example of a multi-employer defined benefit plan is one where:
- (a) The plan is financed on a pay-as-you-go basis: contributions are set at a level that is expected to be sufficient to pay the benefits falling due in the same period; and future benefits earned during the current period will be paid out of future contributions; and
- (b) Employees' benefits are determined by the length of their service and the participating entities have no realistic means of withdrawing from the plan without paying a contribution for the benefits earned by employees up to the date of withdrawal. Such a plan creates actuarial risk for the entity: if the ultimate cost of benefits already earned at the end of the reporting period is more than expected, the entity will have to either increase its contributions or persuade employees to accept a reduction in benefits. Therefore, such a plan is a defined benefit plan.
36. Where sufficient information is available about a multi-employer defined benefit plan, an entity accounts for its proportionate share of the defined benefit obligation, plan assets, and post-employment benefit cost associated with the plan in the same way as for any other defined benefit plan. However, an entity may not be able to identify its share of the underlying financial position and performance of the plan with sufficient reliability for accounting purposes. This may occur if:
- (a) The plan exposes the participating entities to actuarial risks associated with the current and former employees of other entities, with the result that there is no consistent and reliable basis for allocating the obligation, plan assets, and cost to individual entities participating in the plan; or
- (b) The entity does not have access to sufficient information about the plan that satisfies the requirements of this Standard.
- In those cases, an entity accounts for the plan as if it were a defined contribution plan, and discloses the additional information required by paragraph 150.
37. There may be a contractual agreement between the multi-employer plan and its participants that determines how the surplus in the plan will be distributed to the participants (or the deficit funded). A participant in a multi-employer plan with such an agreement that accounts for the plan as a defined contribution plan in accordance

with paragraph 34 should recognise the asset or liability that arises from the contractual agreement, and the resulting revenue or expense in surplus or deficit.

38. Multi-employer plans are distinct from group administration plans. A group administration plan is merely an aggregation of single employer plans combined to allow participating employers to pool their assets for investment purposes and reduce investment management and administration costs, but the claims of different employers are segregated for the sole benefit of their own employees. Group administration plans pose no particular accounting problems because information is readily available to treat them in the same way as any other single employer plan and because such plans do not expose the participating entities to actuarial risks associated with the current and former employees of other entities. The definitions in this Standard require an entity to classify a group administration plan as a defined contribution plan or a defined benefit plan in accordance with the terms of the plan (including any constructive obligation that goes beyond the formal terms).
39. *In determining when to recognise, and how to measure, a liability relating to the wind-up of a multi-employer defined benefit plan, or the entity's withdrawal from a multi-employer defined benefit plan, an entity should apply ASLB 19, 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets'.*

Defined Benefit Plans where the Participating Entities are under Common Control⁶

40. Defined benefit plans that share risks between various entities under common control, for example, controlling and controlled entities, are not multi-employer plans.
41. An entity participating in such a plan obtains information about the plan as a whole, measured in accordance with this Standard on the basis of assumptions that apply to the plan as a whole. If there is a contractual agreement, binding arrangement, or stated policy for charging the net defined benefit cost for the plan as a whole measured in accordance with this Standard to individual entities within the economic entity, the entity should, in its separate or individual financial statements, recognise the net defined benefit cost so charged. If there is no such agreement, arrangement, or policy, the net defined benefit cost should be recognised in the separate or individual financial statements of the entity that is legally the sponsoring employer for the plan. The other entities should, in their separate or individual financial statements, recognise a cost equal to their contribution payable for the period.
42. There are cases in the local body where a controlling entity and one or more controlled entities participate in a defined benefit plan. Unless there is a contractual agreement, binding arrangement, or stated policy, as specified in paragraph 41, the controlled entity accounts on a defined contribution basis and the controlling entity accounts on a defined benefit basis in its consolidated financial statements. The controlled entity also discloses that it accounts on a defined contribution basis in its separate financial statements. A controlled entity that accounts on a defined contribution basis also provides details of the controlling entity, and states that, in the

⁶ In current scenarios, this may not be relevant for local bodies in India. However, in case any such situations arise in future, this may be relevant.

controlling entity's consolidated financial statements, accounting is on a defined benefit basis. The controlled entity also makes the disclosures required in paragraph 151.

43. *Participation in such a plan is a related party transaction for each individual entity. An entity should therefore, in its separate or individual financial statements, disclose the information required by paragraph 151.*

State Plans

44. *An entity should account for a state plan in the same way as for a multi-employer plan (see paragraphs 32 and 39).*
45. State plans are established by legislation to cover all entities (or all entities in a particular category, for example, a specific industry) and are operated by national, state, or local government or by another body (for example, an agency created specifically for this purpose). This Standard deals only with employee benefits of the entity, and does not address accounting for any obligations under state plans related to employees and past employees of entities that are not controlled by the reporting entity. While governments may establish state plans and provide benefits to employees of private sector entities and/or self-employed individuals, obligations arising in respect of such plans are not addressed in this Standard. Some plans established by an entity provide both compulsory benefits, as a substitute for benefits that would otherwise be covered under a state plan, and additional voluntary benefits. Such plans are not state plans.
46. Many state plans are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis: contributions are set at a level that is expected to be sufficient to pay the required benefits falling due in the same period; future benefits earned during the current period will be paid out of future contributions. Entities covered by state plans account for those plans as either defined contribution or defined benefit plans. The accounting treatment depends upon whether the entity has a legal or constructive obligation to pay future benefits. If an entity's only obligation is to pay the contributions as they fall due, and the entity has no obligation to pay future benefits, it accounts for that state plan as a defined contribution plan.
47. A state plan may be classified as a defined contribution plan by a controlled entity. However, it is a rebuttable presumption that the state plan will be characterized as a defined benefit plan by the controlling entity. Where that presumption is rebutted the state plan is accounted for as a defined contribution plan.

Insured Benefits

48. *An entity may pay insurance premiums to fund a post-employment benefit plan. The entity should treat such a plan as a defined contribution plan unless the entity will have (either directly or indirectly through the plan) a legal or constructive obligation either:*
 - (a) *To pay the employee benefits directly when they fall due; or*

- (b) *To pay further amounts if the insurer does not pay all future employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.*

If the entity retains such a legal or constructive obligation, the entity should treat the plan as a defined benefit plan.

49. The benefits insured by an insurance policy need not have a direct or automatic relationship with the entity's obligation for employee benefits. Post-employment benefit plans involving insurance policies are subject to the same distinction between accounting and funding as other funded plans.
50. Where an entity funds a post-employment benefit obligation by contributing to an insurance policy under which the entity (either directly, indirectly through the plan, through the mechanism for setting future premiums, or through a related party relationship with the insurer) retains a legal or constructive obligation, the payment of the premiums does not amount to a defined contribution arrangement. It follows that the entity:
- (a) Accounts for a qualifying insurance policy as a plan asset (see paragraph 8); and
- (b) Recognises other insurance policies as reimbursement rights (if the policies satisfy the criteria in paragraph 118).
51. Where an insurance policy is in the name of a specified plan participant or a group of plan participants, and the entity does not have any legal or constructive obligation to cover any loss on the policy, the entity has no obligation to pay benefits to the employees, and the insurer has sole responsibility for paying the benefits. The payment of fixed premiums under such contracts is, in substance, the settlement of the employee benefit obligation, rather than an investment to meet the obligation. Consequently, the entity no longer has an asset or a liability. Therefore, an entity treats such payments as contributions to a defined contribution plan.

Post-employment Benefits-Defined Contribution Plans

52. Accounting for defined contribution plans is straightforward because the reporting entity's obligation for each period is determined by the amounts to be contributed for that period. Consequently, no actuarial assumptions are required to measure the obligation or the expense, and there is no possibility of any actuarial gain or loss. Moreover, the obligations are measured on an undiscounted basis, except where they are not expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service.

Recognition and Measurement

53. *When an employee has rendered service to an entity during a period, the entity should recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:*

- (a) *As a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid. If the contribution already paid exceeds the contribution due for service before the end of the reporting period, an entity should recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and*
 - (b) *As an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the contribution in the cost of an asset (see, for example, ASLB 12 and ASLB 17).*
54. *When contributions to a defined contribution plan are not expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service, they should be discounted using the discount rate specified in paragraph 85.*

Disclosure

55. *An entity should disclose the amount recognised as an expense for defined contribution plans.*
56. Where required by ASLB 20, an entity discloses information about contributions to defined contribution plans for key management personnel.

Post-employment Benefits-Defined Benefit Plans

57. Accounting for defined benefit plans is complex, because actuarial assumptions are required to measure the obligation and the expense, and there is a possibility of actuarial gains and losses. Moreover, the obligations are measured on a discounted basis, because they may be settled many years after the employees render the related service.

Recognition and Measurement

58. Defined benefit plans may be unfunded, or they may be wholly or partly funded by contributions by an entity, and sometimes its employees, into an entity or fund that is legally separate from the reporting entity and from which the employee benefits are paid. The payment of funded benefits when they fall due depends not only on the financial position and the investment performance of the fund but also on an entity's ability, and willingness, to make good any shortfall in the fund's assets. Therefore, the entity is, in substance, underwriting the actuarial and investment risks associated with the plan. Consequently, the expense recognised for a defined benefit plan is not necessarily the amount of the contribution due for the period.
59. Accounting by an entity for defined benefit plans involves the following steps:
- (a) Determining the deficit or surplus. This involves:
 - i. Using an actuarial technique, the projected unit credit method, to make a reliable estimate of the ultimate cost to the entity of the benefit that employees have earned in return for their service in the current and prior periods (see paragraphs 69–71). This requires an entity to determine how

much benefit is attributable to the current and prior periods (see paragraphs 72-76), and to make estimates (actuarial assumptions) about demographic variables (such as employee turnover and mortality) and financial variables (such as future increases in salaries and medical costs) that will affect the cost of the benefit (see paragraphs 77- 100);

- ii. Discounting that benefit in order to determine the present value of the defined benefit obligation and the current service cost (see paragraphs 69–71 and 85–88);
 - iii. Deducting the fair value of any plan assets (see paragraphs 115-117) from the present value of the defined benefit obligation;
- (b) Determining the amount of the net defined benefit liability (asset) as the amount of the deficit or surplus determined in (a), adjusted for any effect of limiting a net defined benefit asset to the asset ceiling (see paragraph 66).
- (c) Determining amounts to be recognised in surplus or deficit:
- (i) Current service cost (see paragraphs 72–76).
 - (ii) Any past service cost and gain or loss on settlement (see paragraphs 101–114).
 - (iii) Net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 125–128).
- (d) Determining the remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset), to be recognised in net assets/equity, comprising:
- (i) Actuarial gains and losses (see paragraphs 130 and 131);
 - (ii) Return on plan assets, excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraph 132); and
 - (iii) Any change in the effect of the asset ceiling (see paragraph 66), excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset).

Where an entity has more than one defined benefit plan, the entity applies these procedures for each material plan separately.

60. *An entity should determine the net defined benefit liability (asset) with sufficient regularity that the amounts recognised in the financial statements do not differ materially from the amounts that would be determined at the end of the reporting period.*

61. This Standard encourages, but does not require, an entity to involve a qualified actuary in the measurement of all material post-employment benefit obligations. For practical reasons, an entity may request a qualified actuary to carry out a detailed valuation of the obligation before the end of the reporting period. Nevertheless, the results of that valuation are updated for any material transactions and other material changes in circumstances (including changes in market prices and interest rates) up to the end of the reporting period.
62. In some cases, estimates, averages, and computational short cuts may provide a reliable approximation of the detailed computations illustrated in this Standard.

Accounting for the Constructive Obligation

63. *An entity should account not only for its legal obligation under the formal terms of a defined benefit plan, but also for any constructive obligation that arises from the entity's informal practices. Informal practices give rise to a constructive obligation where the entity has no realistic alternative but to pay employee benefits. An example of a constructive obligation is where a change in the entity's informal practices would cause unacceptable damage to its relationship with employees.*
64. The formal terms of a defined benefit plan may permit an entity to terminate its obligation under the plan. Nevertheless, it is usually difficult for an entity to terminate its obligation under a plan (without payment) if employees are to be retained. Therefore, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, accounting for post-employment benefits assumes that an entity that is currently promising such benefits will continue to do so over the remaining working lives of employees.

Balance Sheet

65. *An entity should recognise the net defined benefit liability (asset) in the balance sheet.*
66. *When an entity has a surplus in a defined benefit plan, it should measure the net defined benefit asset at the lower of:*
 - (a) *The surplus in the defined benefit plan; and*
 - (b) *The asset ceiling, determined using the discount rate specified in paragraph 85.*
67. A net defined benefit asset may arise where a defined benefit plan has been overfunded or where actuarial gains have arisen. An entity recognises a net defined benefit asset in such cases because:
 - (a) The entity controls a resource, which is the ability to use the surplus to generate future benefits;

- (b) That control is a result of past events (contributions paid by the entity and service rendered by the employee); and
- (c) Future economic benefits are available to the entity in the form of a reduction in future contributions or a cash refund, either directly to the entity or indirectly to another plan in deficit. The asset ceiling is the present value of those future benefits.

Recognition and Measurement—Present Value of Defined Benefit Obligations and Current Service Cost

68. The ultimate cost of a defined benefit plan may be influenced by many variables, such as final salaries, employee turnover and mortality, employee contributions and medical cost trends. The ultimate cost of the plan is uncertain and this uncertainty is likely to persist over a long period of time. In order to measure the present value of the post-employment benefit obligations and the related current service cost, it is necessary:
- (a) To apply an actuarial valuation method (see paragraphs 69–71);
 - (b) To attribute benefit to periods of service (see paragraphs 72–76); and
 - (c) To make actuarial assumptions (see paragraphs 77–100).

Actuarial Valuation Method

69. *An entity should use the projected unit credit method to determine the present value of its defined benefit obligations and the related current service cost and, where applicable, past service cost.*
70. The projected unit credit method (sometimes known as the accrued benefit method prorated on service or as the benefit/years of service method) sees each period of service as giving rise to an additional unit of benefit entitlement (see paragraphs 72–76), and measures each unit separately to build up the final obligation (see paragraphs 77–100).
71. An entity discounts the whole of a post-employment benefit obligation, even if part of the obligation is expected to be settled before twelve months after the reporting period.

Attributing Benefit to Periods of Service

72. *In determining the present value of its defined benefit obligations and the related current service cost and, where applicable, past service cost, an entity should attribute benefit to periods of service under the plan’s benefit formula. However, if an employee’s service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier years, an entity should attribute benefit on a straight-line basis from:*

- (a) *The date when service by the employee first leads to benefits under the plan (whether or not the benefits are conditional on further service) until*
 - (b) *The date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits under the plan, other than from further salary increases.*

- 73. The projected unit credit method requires an entity to attribute benefit to the current period (in order to determine current service cost) and the current and prior periods (in order to determine the present value of defined benefit obligations). An entity attributes benefit to periods in which the obligation to provide post-employment benefits arises. That obligation arises as employees render services in return for post-employment benefits that an entity expects to pay in future reporting periods. Actuarial techniques allow an entity to measure that obligation with sufficient reliability to justify recognition of a liability.

- 74. Employee service gives rise to an obligation under a defined benefit plan even if the benefits are conditional on future employment (in other words they are not vested). Employee service before the vesting date gives rise to a constructive obligation because, at the end of each successive reporting period, the amount of future service that an employee will have to render before becoming entitled to the benefit is reduced. In measuring its defined benefit obligation, an entity considers the probability that some employees may not satisfy any vesting requirements. Similarly, although some post-employment benefits, for example, post-employment medical benefits, become payable only if a specified event occurs when an employee is no longer employed, an obligation is created when the employee renders service that will provide entitlement to the benefit if the specified event occurs. The probability that the specified event will occur affects the measurement of the obligation, but does not determine whether the obligation exists.

- 75. The obligation increases until the date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits. Therefore, all benefit is attributed to periods ending on or before that date. Benefit is attributed to individual accounting periods under the plan's benefit formula. However, if an employee's service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier years, an entity attributes benefit on a straight-line basis until the date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits. That is because the employee's service throughout the entire period will ultimately lead to benefit at that higher level.

- 76. Where the amount of a benefit is a constant proportion of final salary for each year of service, future salary increases will affect the amount required to settle the obligation that exists for service before the end of the reporting period, but do not create an additional obligation. Therefore:
 - (a) For the purpose of paragraph 72(b), salary increases do not lead to further benefits, even though the amount of the benefits is dependent on final salary; and
 - (b) The amount of benefit attributed to each period is a constant proportion of the salary to which the benefit is linked.

Actuarial Assumptions

77. Actuarial assumptions should be unbiased and mutually compatible.
78. Actuarial assumptions are an entity's best estimates of the variables that will determine the ultimate cost of providing post-employment benefits. Actuarial assumptions comprise:
- (a) Demographic assumptions about the future characteristics of current and former employees (and their dependants) who are eligible for benefits. Demographic assumptions deal with matters such as:
 - (i) Mortality (see paragraphs 83 and 84);
 - (ii) Rates of employee turnover, disability, and early retirement;
 - (iii) The proportion of plan members with dependants who will be eligible for benefits;
 - (iv) The proportion of plan members who will select each form of payment option available under the plan terms; and
 - (v) Claim rates under medical plans.
 - (b) Financial assumptions, dealing with items such as:
 - (i) The discount rate (see paragraphs 85-88);
 - (ii) Benefit levels, excluding any cost of the benefits to be met by employees, and future salary (see paragraphs 89-97);
 - (iii) In the case of medical benefits, future medical costs, including, claim handling costs (i.e., the costs that will be incurred in processing and resolving claims, including legal and adjuster's fees) (see paragraphs 98-100); and
 - (iv) Taxes payable by the plan on contributions relating to service before the end of the reporting period or on benefits resulting from that service.
79. Actuarial assumptions are unbiased if they are neither imprudent nor excessively conservative.
80. Actuarial assumptions are mutually compatible if they reflect the economic relationships between factors such as inflation, rates of salary increase, and discount rates. For example, all assumptions that depend on a particular inflation level (such as assumptions about interest rates and salary and benefit increases) in any given future period assume the same inflation level in that period.

81. An entity determines the discount rate and other financial assumptions in nominal (stated) terms, unless estimates in real (inflation-adjusted) terms are more reliable, for example, where the benefit is index-linked, and there is a deep market in index-linked bonds of the same currency and term.
82. ***Financial assumptions should be based on market expectations, at the end of the reporting period, for the period over which the obligations are to be settled.***

Actuarial Assumptions: Mortality

83. ***An entity should determine its mortality assumptions by reference to its best estimate of the mortality of plan members both during and after employment.***
84. In order to estimate the ultimate cost of the benefit an entity takes into consideration expected changes in mortality, for example by modifying standard mortality tables with estimates of mortality improvements.

Actuarial Assumptions-Discount Rate

85. ***The rate used to discount post-employment benefit obligations (both funded and unfunded) should reflect the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money should be consistent with the currency and estimated term of the post-employment benefit obligations.***
86. One actuarial assumption that has a material effect is the discount rate. The discount rate reflects the time value of money but not the actuarial or investment risk. Furthermore, the discount rate does not reflect the entity-specific credit risk borne by the entity's creditors, nor does it reflect the risk that future experience may differ from actuarial assumptions.
87. The discount rate reflects the estimated timing of benefit payments. In practice, an entity often achieves this by applying a single weighted average discount rate that reflects the estimated timing and amount of benefit payments, and the currency in which the benefits are to be paid.
88. An entity makes a judgment whether the discount rate that reflects the time value of money is best approximated by reference to market yields at the end of the reporting period on government bonds, high quality corporate bonds, or by another financial instrument. In some jurisdictions, market yields at the end of the reporting period on government bonds will provide the best approximation of the time value of money. However, there may be jurisdictions in which this is not the case, for example, jurisdictions where there is no deep market in government bonds, or in which market yields at the end of the reporting period on government bonds do not reflect the time value of money. In such cases, the reporting entity determines the rate by another method, such as by reference to market yields on high quality corporate bonds. There may also be circumstances where there is no deep market in government bonds or high quality corporate bonds with a sufficiently long maturity to match the estimated maturity of all the benefit payments. In such circumstances, an entity uses current

market rates of the appropriate term to discount shorter term payments, and estimates the discount rate for longer maturities by extrapolating current market rates along the yield curve. The total present value of a defined benefit obligation is unlikely to be particularly sensitive to the discount rate applied to the portion of benefits that is payable beyond the final maturity of the available financial instrument, such as government bonds or corporate bonds.

Actuarial Assumption - Salaries, Benefits and Medical Costs

89. *An entity should measure its defined benefit obligations on a basis that reflects:*
- (a) The benefits set out in the terms of the plan (or resulting from any constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) at the end of the reporting period;*
 - (b) Any estimated future salary increases that affect the benefits payable;*
 - (c) The effect of any limit on the employer's share of the cost of the future benefits;*
 - (d) Contributions from employees or third parties that reduce the ultimate cost to the entity of those benefits; and*
 - (e) Estimated future changes in the level of any state benefits that affect the benefits payable under a defined benefit plan, if, and only if, either:*
 - (i) Those changes were enacted before the end of the reporting period; or*
 - (ii) Historical data, or other reliable evidence, indicates that those state benefits will change in some predictable manner, for example, in line with future changes in general price levels or general salary levels.*
90. Actuarial assumptions reflect future benefit changes that are set out in the formal terms of a plan (or a constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) at the end of the reporting period. This is the case if, for example:
- (a) The entity has a history of increasing benefits, for example, to mitigate the effects of inflation, and there is no indication that this practice will change in the future;
 - (b) The entity is obliged, by either the formal terms of a plan (or a constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) or legislation, to use any surplus in the plan for the benefit of plan participants (see paragraph 110(c)): or
 - (c) Benefits vary in response to a performance target or other criteria. For example, the terms of the plan may state that it will pay reduced benefits or require additional contributions from employees if the plan assets are

insufficient. The measurement of the obligation reflects the best estimate of the effect of the performance target or other criteria.

91. Actuarial assumptions do not reflect future benefit changes that are not set out in the formal terms of the plan (or a constructive obligation) at the end of the reporting period. Such changes will result in:
 - (a) Past service cost, to the extent that they change benefits for service before the change; and
 - (b) Current service cost for periods after the change, to the extent that they change benefits for service after the change.
92. Estimates of future salary increases take account of inflation, seniority, promotion, and other relevant factors, such as supply and demand in the employment market.
93. Some defined benefit plans limit the contributions that an entity is required to pay. The ultimate cost of the benefits takes account of the effect of a limit on contributions. The effect of a limit on contributions is determined over the shorter of:
 - (a) The estimated life of the entity; and
 - (b) The estimated life of the plan.
94. Some defined benefit plans require employees or third parties to contribute to the cost of the plan. Contributions by employees reduce the cost of the benefits to the entity. An entity considers whether third-party contributions reduce the cost of the benefits to the entity, or are a reimbursement right as described in paragraph 118. Contributions by employees or third parties are either set out in the formal terms of the plan (or arise from a constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms), or are discretionary. Discretionary contributions by employees or third parties reduce service cost upon payment of these contributions to the plan.
95. Contributions from employees or third parties set out in the formal terms of the plan either reduce service cost (if they are linked to service), or affect remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) (if they are not linked to service). An example of contributions that are not linked to service is when the contributions are required to reduce a deficit arising from losses on plan assets or from actuarial losses. If contributions from employees or third parties are linked to service, those contributions reduce the service cost as follows:
 - (a) If the amount of the contributions is dependent on the number of years of service, an entity should attribute the contributions to periods of service using the same attribution method required by paragraph 72 for the gross benefit (i.e., either using the plan's contribution formula or on a straight-line basis); or
 - (b) If the amount of the contributions is independent of the number of years of service, the entity is permitted to recognise such contributions as a reduction of the service cost in the period in which the related service is rendered.

Examples of contributions that are independent of the number of years of service include those that are a fixed percentage of the employee's salary, a fixed amount throughout the service period or dependent on the employee's age.

Paragraph AG13 provides related application guidance.

96. For contributions from employees or third parties that are attributed to periods of service in accordance with paragraph 95(a), changes in the contributions result in:
 - (a) Current and past service cost (if those changes are not set out in the formal terms of a plan and do not arise from a constructive obligation); or
 - (b) Actuarial gains and losses (if those changes are set out in the formal terms of a plan, or arise from a constructive obligation).
97. Some post-employment benefits are linked to variables, such as the level of benefit entitlements from social security pensions or medical care. The measurement of such benefits reflects the best estimate of such variables, based on historical data and other reliable evidence.
98. *Assumptions about medical costs should take account of estimated future changes in the cost of medical services, resulting from both inflation and specific changes in medical costs.*
99. Measurement of post-employment medical benefits requires assumptions about the level and frequency of future claims and the cost of meeting those claims. An entity estimates future medical costs on the basis of historical data about the entity's own experience, supplemented where necessary by historical data from other entities, insurance companies, medical providers, or other sources. Estimates of future medical costs consider the effect of technological advances, changes in health care utilization or delivery patterns, and changes in the health status of plan participants.
100. The level and frequency of claims is particularly sensitive to the age, health status, and gender of employees (and their dependants), and may be sensitive to other factors such as geographical location. Therefore, historical data are adjusted to the extent that the demographic mix of the population differs from that of the population used as a basis for the data. They are also adjusted where there is reliable evidence that historical trends will not continue.

Past Service Cost and Gains and Losses on Settlement

101. *Before determining past service cost, or a gain or loss on settlement, an entity should remeasure the net defined benefit liability (asset) using the current fair value of plan assets and current actuarial assumptions (including current market interest rates and other current market prices) reflecting the benefits offered under the plan before the plan amendment, curtailment or settlement.*

102. An entity need not distinguish between past service cost resulting from a plan amendment, past service cost resulting from a curtailment and a gain or loss on settlement if these transactions occur together. In some cases, a plan amendment occurs before a settlement, such as when an entity changes the benefits under the plan and settles the amended benefits later. In those cases an entity recognises past service cost before any gain or loss on settlement.
103. A settlement occurs together with a plan amendment and curtailment if a plan is terminated with the result that the obligation is settled and the plan ceases to exist. However, the termination of a plan is not a settlement if the plan is replaced by a new plan that offers benefits that are, in substance, the same.

Past Service Cost

104. Past service cost is the change in the present value of the defined benefit obligation resulting from a plan amendment or curtailment.
105. *An entity should recognise past service cost as an expense at the earlier of the following dates:*
- (a) *When the plan amendment or curtailment occurs; and*
 - (b) *When the entity recognises related restructuring costs (see ASLB 19) or termination benefits (see paragraph 168).*
106. A plan amendment occurs when an entity introduces, or withdraws, a defined benefit plan or changes the benefits payable under an existing defined benefit plan.
107. A curtailment occurs when an entity significantly reduces the number of employees covered by a plan. A curtailment may arise from an isolated event, such as the closing of a plant, discontinuance of an operation or termination or suspension of a plan.
108. Past service cost may be either positive (when benefits are introduced or changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation increases) or negative (when benefits are withdrawn or changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation decreases).
109. Where an entity reduces benefits payable under an existing defined benefit plan and, at the same time, increases other benefits payable under the plan for the same employees, the entity treats the change as a single net change.
110. Past service cost excludes:
- (a) The effect of differences between actual and previously assumed salary increases on the obligation to pay benefits for service in prior years (there is no past service cost because actuarial assumptions allow for projected salaries);

- (b) Underestimates and overestimates of discretionary pension increases when an entity has a constructive obligation to grant such increases (there is no past service cost because actuarial assumptions allow for such increases);
- (c) Estimates of benefit improvements that result from actuarial gains or from the return on plan assets that have been recognised in the financial statements if the entity is obliged, by either the formal terms of a plan (or a constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) or legislation, to use any surplus in the plan for the benefit of plan participants, even if the benefit increase has not yet been formally awarded (there is no past service cost because the resulting increase in the obligation is an actuarial loss, see paragraph 90); and
- (d) The increase in vested benefits (i.e., benefits that are not conditional on future employment, see paragraph 74) when, in the absence of new or improved benefits, employees complete vesting requirements (there is no past service cost because the entity recognised the estimated cost of benefits as current service cost as the service was rendered).

Gains and Losses on Settlement

111. The gain or loss on a settlement is the difference between:
- (a) The present value of the defined benefit obligation being settled, as determined on the date of settlement; and
 - (b) The settlement price, including any plan assets transferred and any payments made directly by the entity in connection with the settlement.
112. ***An entity should recognise a gain or loss on the settlement of a defined benefit plan when the settlement occurs.***
113. A settlement occurs when an entity enters into a transaction that eliminates all further legal or constructive obligation for part or all of the benefits provided under a defined benefit plan (other than a payment of benefits to, or on behalf of, employees in accordance with the terms of the plan and included in the actuarial assumptions). For example, a one-off transfer of significant employer obligations under the plan to an insurance company through the purchase of an insurance policy is a settlement; a lump sum cash payment, under the terms of the plan, to plan participants in exchange for their rights to receive specified post-employment benefits is not.
114. In some cases, an entity acquires an insurance policy to fund some or all of the employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods. The acquisition of such a policy is not a settlement if the entity retains a legal or constructive obligation (see paragraph 48) to pay further amounts if the insurer does not pay the employee benefits specified in the insurance policy. Paragraphs 118–121 deal with the recognition and measurement of reimbursement rights under insurance policies that are not plan assets.

Recognition and Measurement-Plan Assets

Fair Value of Plan Assets

115. The fair value of any plan assets is deducted from the present value of the defined benefit obligation in determining the deficit or surplus.
116. Plan assets exclude unpaid contributions due from the reporting entity to the fund, as well as any non-transferable financial instruments issued by the entity and held by the fund. Plan assets are reduced by any liabilities of the fund that do not relate to employee benefits, for example, trade and other payables and liabilities resulting from derivative financial instruments.
117. Where plan assets include qualifying insurance policies that exactly match the amount and timing of some or all of the benefits payable under the plan, the fair value of those insurance policies is deemed to be the present value of the related obligations (subject to any reduction required if the amounts receivable under the insurance policies are not recoverable in full).

Reimbursements

118. ***When, and only when, it is virtually certain that another party will reimburse some or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation, an entity should:***
- a) ***Recognise its right to reimbursement as a separate asset. The entity should measure the asset at fair value.***
 - b) ***Disaggregate and recognise changes in the fair value of its right to reimbursement in the same way as for changes in the fair value of plan assets (see paragraphs 126 and 128). The components of defined benefit cost recognised in accordance with paragraph 122 may be recognised net of amounts relating to changes in the carrying amount of the right to reimbursement.***
119. Sometimes, an entity is able to look to another party, such as an insurer, to pay part or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation. Qualifying insurance policies, as defined in paragraph 8, are plan assets. An entity accounts for qualifying insurance policies in the same way as for all other plan assets, and paragraph 118 is not relevant (see paragraphs 48-51 and 117).
120. When an insurance policy held by an entity is not a qualifying insurance policy, that insurance policy is not a plan asset. Paragraph 118 is relevant to such cases: the entity recognises its right to reimbursement under the insurance policy as a separate asset, rather than as a deduction in determining the defined benefit deficit or surplus. Paragraph 142(b) requires the entity to disclose a brief description of the link between the reimbursement right and the related obligation.

121. If the right to reimbursement arises under an insurance policy or a legally binding agreement that exactly matches the amount and timing of some or all of the benefits payable under a defined benefit plan, the fair value of the reimbursement right is deemed to be the present value of the related obligation (subject to any reduction required if the reimbursement is not recoverable in full).

Components of Defined Benefit Cost

122. *An entity should recognise the components of defined benefit cost, except to the extent that another ASLB requires or permits their inclusion in the cost of an asset, as follows:*

- (a) *Service cost (see paragraphs 68–114) in surplus or deficit;*
- (b) *Net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 125–128) in surplus or deficit; and*
- (c) *Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 129–132) in net assets/equity.*

123. Other ASLBs require the inclusion of some employee benefit costs within the cost of assets, such as inventories and property, plant and equipment (see ASLB 12 and ASLB 17). Any post-employment benefit costs included in the cost of such assets include the appropriate proportion of the components listed in paragraph 122.

124. *Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) recognised in net assets/equity should not be reclassified to surplus or deficit in a subsequent period. However, the entity may transfer those amounts recognised in net assets/equity within net assets/equity.*

Net Interest on the Net Defined Benefit Liability (Asset)

125. *Net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) should be determined by multiplying the net defined benefit liability (asset) by the discount rate specified in paragraph 85, both as determined at the start of the reporting period, taking account of any changes in the net defined benefit liability (asset) during the period as a result of contribution and benefit payments.*

126. Net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) can be viewed as comprising interest revenue on plan assets, interest cost on the defined benefit obligation and interest on the effect of the asset ceiling mentioned in paragraph 66.

127. Interest revenue on plan assets is a component of the return on plan assets, and is determined by multiplying the fair value of the plan assets by the discount rate specified in paragraph 85, both as determined at the start of the reporting period, taking account of any changes in the plan assets held during the period as a result of

contributions and benefit payments. The difference between the interest revenue on plan assets and the return on plan assets is included in the remeasurement of the net defined benefit liability (asset).

128. Interest on the effect of the asset ceiling is part of the total change in the effect of the asset ceiling, and is determined by multiplying the effect of the asset ceiling by the discount rate specified in paragraph 85, both as determined at the start of the reporting period. The difference between that amount and the total change in the effect of the asset ceiling is included in the remeasurement of the net defined benefit liability (asset).

Remeasurements of the Net Defined Benefit Liability (Asset)

129. Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) comprise:
- (a) Actuarial gains and losses (see paragraphs 130 and 131);
 - (b) The return on plan assets (see paragraph 132), excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraph 127); and
 - (c) Any change in the effect of the asset ceiling, excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraph 128).
130. Actuarial gains and losses result from increases or decreases in the present value of the defined benefit obligation because of changes in actuarial assumptions and experience adjustments. Causes of actuarial gains and losses include, for example:
- (a) Unexpectedly high or low rates of employee turnover, early retirement or mortality or of increases in salaries, benefits (if the formal or constructive terms of a plan provide for inflationary benefit increases) or medical costs;
 - (b) The effect of changes to assumptions concerning benefit payment options;
 - (c) The effect of changes in estimates of future employee turnover, early retirement or mortality or of increases in salaries, benefits (if the formal or constructive terms of a plan provide for inflationary benefit increases) or medical costs; and
 - (d) The effect of changes in the discount rate.
131. Actuarial gains and losses do not include changes in the present value of the defined benefit obligation because of the introduction, amendment, curtailment or settlement of the defined benefit plan, or changes to the benefits payable under the defined benefit plan. Such changes result in past service cost or gains or losses on settlement.
132. In determining the return on plan assets, an entity deducts the costs of managing the plan assets and any tax payable by the plan itself, other than tax included in the

actuarial assumptions used to measure the defined benefit obligation (paragraph 78). Other administration costs are not deducted from the return on plan assets.

Presentation

Offset

133. ***An entity should offset an asset relating to one plan against a liability relating to another plan when, and only when, the entity:***
- (a) ***Has a legally enforceable right to use a surplus in one plan to settle obligations under the other plan; and***
 - (b) ***Intends either to settle the obligations on a net basis, or to realise the surplus in one plan and settle its obligation under the other plan simultaneously.***

134. [Refer to Appendix 1]

Current/Non-Current Distinction

135. Some entities distinguish current assets and liabilities from non-current assets and liabilities. This Standard does not specify whether an entity should distinguish current and non-current portions of assets and liabilities arising from post-employment benefits.

Components of Defined Benefit Cost

136. Paragraph 122 requires an entity to recognise service cost and net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) in surplus or deficit. This Standard does not specify how an entity should present service cost and net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset). An entity presents those components in accordance with ASLB 1.

Disclosure

137. ***An entity should disclose information that:***
- (a) ***Explains the characteristics of its defined benefit plans and risks associated with them (see paragraph 141);***
 - (b) ***Identifies and explains the amounts in its financial statements arising from its defined benefit plans (see paragraphs 142–146); and***
 - (c) [Refer to Appendix 1]
138. [Refer to Appendix 1]
139. [Refer to Appendix 1]

140. [Refer to Appendix 1]

Characteristics of Defined Benefit Plans and Risks Associated with Them

141. An entity should disclose:

- (a) Information about the characteristics of its defined benefit plans, including:
 - (i) The nature of the benefits provided by the plan (e.g., final salary defined benefit plan or contribution-based plan with guarantee).
 - (ii) [Refer to Appendix 1]
 - (iii) [Refer to Appendix 1]
- (b) [Refer to Appendix 1]
- (c) A description of any plan amendments, curtailments and settlements.
- (d) The basis on which the discount rate has been determined.
- (e) An analysis of the defined benefit obligation into amounts arising from plans that are wholly unfunded and amounts arising from plans that the wholly or partly funded.

Explanation of Amounts in the Financial Statements

142. An entity should provide a reconciliation from the opening balance to the closing balance for each of the following, if applicable:

- (a) The net defined benefit liability (asset), showing separate reconciliations for:
 - (i) Plan assets.
 - (ii) The present value of the defined benefit obligation.
 - (iii) The effect of the asset ceiling.
- (b) Any reimbursement rights. An entity should also describe the relationship between any reimbursement right and the related obligation.

143. Each reconciliation listed in paragraph 142 should show each of the following, if applicable:

- (a) Current service cost.
- (b) Interest revenue or expense.

- (c) Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset), showing separately:
 - (i) The return on plan assets, excluding amounts included in interest in (b).
 - (ii) Actuarial gains and losses arising from changes in demographic assumptions (see paragraph 78(a)).
 - (iii) Actuarial gains and losses arising from changes in financial assumptions (see paragraph 78(b)).
 - (iv) Changes in the effect of limiting a net defined benefit asset to the asset ceiling, excluding amounts included in interest in (b).
 - (d) Past service cost and gains and losses arising from settlements. As permitted by paragraph 102, past service cost and gains and losses arising from settlements need not be distinguished if they occur together.
 - (e) [Refer to Appendix 1]
 - (f) Contributions to the plan, showing separately those by the employer and by plan participants.
 - (g) Payments from the plan, showing separately the amount paid in respect of any settlements.
 - (h) The effects of entity combinations and disposals, if applicable.
144. An entity should disaggregate the fair value of the plan assets into classes that distinguish the nature and risks of those assets.
145. An entity should disclose the fair value of the entity's own transferable financial instruments held as plan assets, and the fair value of plan assets that are property occupied by, or other assets used by, the entity.
146. An entity should disclose the significant actuarial assumptions used to determine the present value of the defined benefit obligation (see paragraph 78). Such disclosure should be in absolute terms (e.g., as an absolute percentage, and not just as a margin between different percentages and other variables). When an entity provides disclosures in total for a grouping of plans, it should provide such disclosures in the form of weighted averages or relatively narrow ranges.
147. [Refer to Appendix 1]
148. [Refer to Appendix 1]
149. [Refer to Appendix 1]

Multi-Employer Plans

150. If an entity participates in a multi-employer defined benefit plan, it should disclose:
- (a) A description of the funding arrangements, including the method used to determine the entity's rate of contributions and any minimum funding requirements.
 - (b) A description of the extent to which the entity can be liable to the plan for other entities' obligations under the terms and conditions of the multi-employer plan.
 - (c) A description of any agreed allocation of a deficit or surplus on:
 - (i) Wind-up of the plan; or
 - (ii) The entity's withdrawal from the plan.
 - (d) If the entity accounts for that plan as if it were a defined contribution plan in accordance with paragraph 34, it should disclose the following, in addition to the information required by (a)–(c) and instead of the information required by paragraphs 141–149:
 - (i) The fact that the plan is a defined benefit plan.
 - (ii) The reason why sufficient information is not available to enable the entity to account for the plan as a defined benefit plan.
 - (iii) The expected contributions to the plan for the next reporting period.
 - (iv) Information about any deficit or surplus in the plan that may affect the amount of future contributions, including the basis used to determine that deficit or surplus and the implications, if any, for the entity.
 - (v) An indication of the level of participation of the entity in the plan compared with other participating entities. Examples of measures that might provide such an indication include the entity's proportion of the total contributions to the plan or the entity's proportion of the total number of active members, retired members, and former members entitled to benefits, if that information is available.

Defined Benefit Plans that Share Risks Between Entities under Common Control

151. If an entity participates in a defined benefit plan that shares risks between entities under common control, it should disclose:
- (a) The contractual agreement or stated policy for charging the net defined benefit cost or the fact that there is no such policy.

- (b) The policy for determining the contribution to be paid by the entity.
 - (c) If the entity accounts for an allocation of the net defined benefit cost as noted in paragraph 41, all the information about the plan as a whole required by paragraphs 137–149.
 - (d) If the entity accounts for the contribution payable for the period as noted in paragraph 41, the information about the plan as a whole required by paragraphs 137–139, 141, 144–146 and 149(a) and (b).
152. The information required by paragraph 151(c) and (d) can be disclosed by cross-reference to disclosures in another group entity’s financial statements if:
- (a) That group entity’s financial statements separately identify and disclose the information required about the plan; and
 - (b) That group entity’s financial statements are available to users of the financial statements on the same terms as the financial statements of the entity and at the same time as, or earlier than, the financial statements of the entity.

Disclosure Requirements in Other ASLBs

153. Where required by ASLB 20, an entity discloses information about:
- (a) Related party transactions with post-employment benefit plans; and
 - (b) Post-employment benefits for key management personnel.
154. Where required by ASLB 19, an entity discloses information about contingent liabilities arising from post-employment benefit obligations.

Other Long-Term Employee Benefits

155. Other long-term employee benefits include items such as the following, if not expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service:
- (a) Long-term compensated absences such as long service or sabbatical leave;
 - (b) Jubilee or other long service benefits;
 - (c) Long-term disability benefits;
 - (d) Bonuses and surplus sharing payable twelve months or more after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service;
 - (e) Deferred compensation paid twelve months or more after the end of the period in which it is earned; and

- (f) Compensation payable by the entity until an individual enters new employment
156. The measurement of other long-term employee benefits is not usually subject to the same degree of uncertainty as the measurement of post-employment benefits. For this reasons, this Standard requires a simplified method of accounting for other long-term employee benefits. Unlike the accounting required for post-employment benefits, this method does not recognise remeasurements in net assets/equity.
157. This Standard includes a rebuttable presumption that long-term disability payments are not usually subject to the same degree of uncertainty as the measurement of post-employment benefits. Where this presumption is rebutted, the entity considers whether some or all long-term disability payments should be accounted for in accordance with paragraphs 57-154.

Recognition and Measurement

158. *In recognising and measuring the surplus or deficit in another long-term employee benefit plan, an entity should apply paragraphs 58–100 and 115–117. An entity should apply paragraphs 118–121 in recognising and measuring any reimbursement right.*
159. *For other long-term employee benefits, an entity should recognise the net total of the following amounts in surplus or deficit, except to the extent that another ASLB requires or permits their inclusion in the cost of an asset:*
- (a) *Service cost (see paragraphs 68 – 114);*
 - (b) *Net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 125-128); and*
 - (c) *Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 129–132).*
160. One form of other long-term employee benefit is long-term disability benefit. If the level of benefit depends on the length of service, an obligation arises when the service is rendered. Measurement of that obligation reflects the probability that payment will be required, and the length of time for which payment is expected to be made. If the level of benefit is the same for any disabled employee regardless of years of service, the expected cost of those benefits is recognised when an event occurs that causes a long-term disability.

Disclosure

161. Although this Standard does not require specific disclosures about other long-term employee benefits, other ASLBs may require disclosures. For example, ASLB 20 requires disclosures about employee benefits for key management personnel. ASLB 1 requires disclosure of employee benefits expense.

Termination Benefits

162. This Standard deals with termination benefits separately from other employee benefits, because the event that gives rise to an obligation is the termination of employment rather than employee service. Termination benefits result from either an entity's decision to terminate the employment or an employee's decision to accept an entity's offer of benefits in exchange for termination of employment.
163. Termination benefits do not include employee benefits resulting from termination of employment at the request of the employee without an entity's offer, or as a result of mandatory retirement requirements, because those benefits are post-employment benefits. Some entities provide a lower level of benefit for termination of employment at the request of the employee (in substance, a post-employment benefit) than for termination of employment at the request of the entity. The difference between the benefit provided for termination of employment at the request of the employee and a higher benefit provided at the request of the entity is a termination benefit.
164. The form of the employee benefit does not determine whether it is in exchange provided for service or in exchange for termination of the employee's employment. Termination benefits are typically lump sum payments, but sometimes also include:
- (a) Enhancement of post-employment benefits, either indirectly through an employee benefit plan or directly.
 - (b) Salary until the end of a specified notice period if the employee renders no further service that provides economic benefits to the entity.
165. Indicators that an employee benefit is provided in exchange for services include the following:
- (a) The benefit is conditional on future service being provided (including benefits that increase if further service is provided).
 - (b) The benefit is provided in accordance with the terms of an employee benefit plan.
166. Some termination benefits are provided in accordance with the terms of an existing employee benefit plan. For example, they may be specified by statute, employment contract or union agreement, or may be implied as a result of the employer's past practice of providing similar benefits. As another example, if an entity makes an offer of benefits available for more than a short period, or there is more than a short period between the offer and the expected date of actual termination, the entity considers whether it has established a new employee benefit plan and hence whether the benefits offered under that plan are termination benefits or post-employment benefits. Employee benefits provided in accordance with the terms of an employee benefit plan are termination benefits if they both result from an entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment and are not conditional on future service being provided.

167. Some employee benefits are provided regardless of the reason for the employee's departure. The payment of such benefits is certain (subject to any vesting or minimum service requirements) but the timing of their payment is uncertain. Although such benefits are described in some jurisdictions as termination indemnities or termination gratuities, they are post-employment benefits rather than termination benefits, and an entity accounts for them as post-employment benefits.

Recognition

168. *An entity should recognise a liability and expense for termination benefits at the earlier of the following dates:*
- (a) *When the entity can no longer withdraw the offer of those benefits; and*
 - (b) *When the entity recognises costs for a restructuring that is within the scope of ASLB 19 and involves the payment of termination benefits.*
169. For termination benefits payable as a result of an employee's decision to accept an offer of benefits in exchange for the termination of employment, the time when an entity can no longer withdraw the offer of termination benefits is the earlier of:
- (a) When the employee accepts the offer; and
 - (b) When a restriction (e.g., a legal, regulatory or contractual requirement or other restriction) on the entity's ability to withdraw the offer takes effect. This would be when the offer is made, if the restriction existed at the time of the offer.
170. For termination benefits payable as a result of an entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment, the entity can no longer withdraw the offer when the entity has communicated to the affected employees a plan of termination meeting all of the following criteria:
- (a) Actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made.
 - (b) The plan identifies the number of employees whose employment is to be terminated, their job classifications or functions and their locations (but the plan need not identify each individual employee) and the expected completion date.
 - (c) The plan establishes the termination benefits that employees will receive in sufficient detail that employees can determine the type and amount of benefits they will receive when their employment is terminated.
171. When an entity recognises termination benefits, the entity may also have to account for a plan amendment or a curtailment of other employee benefits (see paragraph 105).

Measurement

172. *An entity should measure termination benefits on initial recognition, and should measure and recognise subsequent changes, in accordance with the nature of the employee benefit, provided that if the termination benefits are an enhancement to post-employment benefits, the entity should apply the requirements for post-employment benefits. Otherwise:*
- (a) *If the termination benefits are expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the termination benefit is recognised, the entity should apply the requirements for short-term employee benefits.*
 - (b) *If the termination benefits are not expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the reporting period, the entity should apply the requirements for other long-term employee benefits.*
173. Because termination benefits are not provided in exchange for service, paragraphs 72–76 relating to the attribution of the benefit to periods of service are not relevant.

Disclosure

174. Although this Standard does not require specific disclosures about termination benefits, other ASLBs may require disclosures. For example, ASLB 20 requires disclosures about employee benefits for key management personnel. ASLB 1 requires disclosure of employee benefits expense.
175. [Refer to Appendix 1]
176. [Refer to Appendix 1]
177. [Refer to Appendix 1]
178. [Refer to Appendix 1]

Application Guidance

This Appendix is an integral part of ASLB 39.

Example Illustrating Paragraph 19: Accounting for Performance-Related Bonus Plan

AG1. A performance-related bonus plan requires a government printing unit to pay a specified proportion of its surplus for the year to employees who meet predetermined performance targets and serve throughout the year, i.e., are in post on both the first and last day of the reporting period. If no employees leave during the year, the total bonus payments for the year will be 3% of actual surplus. The entity determines that staff turnover will reduce the payments to 2.5% of actual surplus.

The entity recognises a liability and an expense of 2.5% of actual surplus.

Example Illustrating Paragraph 37: Accounting for a Multi-Employer Plan

AG2. Along with similar entities in State X, Local Government Unit A participates in a multi-employer defined benefit plan. Because the plan exposes the participating entities to actuarial risks associated with the current and former employees of other local government units participating in the plan, there is no consistent and reliable basis for allocating the obligation, plan assets, and cost to individual local government units participating in the plan. Local Government Unit A therefore accounts for the plan as if it were a defined contribution plan. A funding valuation, which is not drawn up on the basis of assumptions compatible with the requirements of this Standard, shows a deficit of Rs. 480 million(a) in the plan. The plan has agreed, under a binding arrangement, a schedule of contributions with the participating employers in the plan that will eliminate the deficit over the next five years. Local Government Unit A's total contributions under the contract are Rs. 40 million.

The entity recognises a liability for the contributions adjusted for the time value of money and an equal expense in surplus or deficit.

(a) In this Standard monetary amounts are denominated in "rupees (Rs.)".

Example Illustrating Paragraph 70: Projected Unit Credit Method

AG3. A lump sum benefit is payable on termination of service and equal to 1% of final salary for each year of service. The salary in year 1 is Rs. 10,000 and is assumed to increase at 7% (compound) each year. The discount rate used is 10% per annum. The following table shows how the obligation builds up for an employee who is expected to leave at the end of year five, assuming that there are no changes in actuarial assumptions. For simplicity, this example ignores the additional adjustment needed to reflect the probability that the employee may leave the entity at an earlier or later date.

<i>Year</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Benefit attributed to:</i>					
<i>– prior years</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>131</i>	<i>262</i>	<i>393</i>	<i>524</i>
<i>– current year (1% of final salary)</i>	<u><i>131</i></u>	<u><i>131</i></u>	<u><i>131</i></u>	<u><i>131</i></u>	<u><i>131</i></u>
<i>– current and prior years</i>	<u><i>131</i></u>	<u><i>262</i></u>	<u><i>393</i></u>	<u><i>524</i></u>	<u><i>655</i></u>
<i>Year</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Opening obligation</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>324</i>	<i>476</i>
<i>Interest at 10%</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Current service cost</i>	<u><i>89</i></u>	<u><i>98</i></u>	<u><i>108</i></u>	<u><i>119</i></u>	<u><i>131</i></u>
<i>Closing obligation</i>	<u><i>89</i></u>	<u><i>196</i></u>	<u><i>324</i></u>	<u><i>476</i></u>	<u><i>655</i></u>

Note:

- 1. The opening obligation is the present value of benefit attributed to prior years.*
- 2. The current service cost is the present value of benefit attributed to the current year.*
- 3. The closing obligation is the present value of benefit attributed to current and prior years.*

Examples Illustrating Paragraph 73: Attributing Benefit to Years of Service

AG4. A defined benefit plan provides a lump sum benefit of Rs. 100 payable on retirement for each year of service.

A benefit of Rs. 100 is attributed to each year. The current service cost is the present value of Rs. 100. The present value of the defined benefit obligation is the present value of Rs. 100, multiplied by the number of years of service up to the end of the reporting period.

If the benefit is payable immediately when the employee leaves the entity, the current service cost and the present value of the defined benefit obligation reflect the date at which the employee is expected to leave. Thus, because of the effect of discounting, they are less than the amounts that would be determined if the employee left at the end of the reporting period.

AG5. A plan provides a monthly pension of 0.2% of final salary for each year of service. The pension is payable from the age of 65.

Benefit equal to the present value, at the expected retirement date, of a monthly pension of 0.2% of the estimated final salary payable from the expected retirement date until the expected date of death is attributed to each year of service. The current

service cost is the present value of that benefit. The present value of the defined benefit obligation is the present value of monthly pension payments of 0.2% of final salary, multiplied by the number of years of service up to the end of the reporting period. The current service cost and the present value of the defined benefit obligation are discounted, because pension payments begin at the age of 65.

Examples Illustrating Paragraph 74: Vesting and Non-Vesting Benefits

AG6. A plan pays a benefit of Rs. 100 for each year of service. The benefits vest after 10 years of service.

A benefit of Rs. 100 is attributed to each year. In each of the first 10 years, the current service cost and the present value of the obligation reflect the probability that the employee may not complete 10 years of service.

AG7. A plan pays a benefit of Rs. 100 for each year of service, excluding service before the age of 25. The benefits vest immediately.

No benefit is attributed to service before the age of 25 because service before that date does not lead to benefits (conditional or unconditional). A benefit of Rs. 100 is attributed to each subsequent year.

Examples Illustrating Paragraph 75: Attributing Benefits to Accounting Periods

AG8. A plan pays a lump sum benefit of Rs. 1,000 that vests after 10 years of service. The plan provides no further benefit for subsequent service.

A benefit of Rs. 100 (Rs. 1,000 divided by 10) is attributed to each of the first 10 years. The current service cost in each of the first 10 years reflects the probability that the employee may not complete 10 years of service. No benefit is attributed to subsequent years.

AG9. A plan pays a lump sum retirement benefit of Rs. 2,000 to all employees who are still employed at the age of 55 after 20 years of service, or who are still employed at the age of 65, regardless of their length of service.

For employees who join before the age of 35, service first leads to benefits under the plan at the age of 35 (an employee could leave at the age of 30 and return at the age of 33, with no effect on the amount or timing of benefits). Those benefits are conditional on further service. Also, service beyond the age of 55 will lead to no material amount of further benefits. For these employees, the entity attributes benefit of Rs. 100 (Rs. 2,000 divided by 20) to each year from the age of 35 to the age of 55.

For employees who join between the ages of 35 and 45, service beyond twenty years will lead to no material amount of further benefits. For these employees, the entity attributes benefit of 100 (Rs. 2,000 divided by 20) to each of the first 20 years.

For an employee who joins at the age of 55, service beyond 10 years will lead to no material amount of further benefits. For this employee, the entity attributes benefit of Rs. 200 (Rs. 2,000 divided by 10) to each of the first 10 years.

For all employees, the current service cost and the present value of the obligation reflect the probability that the employee may not complete the necessary period of service.

AG10. A post-employment medical plan reimburses 40% of an employee's post-employment medical costs if the employee leaves after more than 10 and less than 20 years of service, and 50% of those costs if the employee leaves after 20 or more years of service.

Under the plan's benefit formula, the entity attributes 4% of the present value of the expected medical costs (40% divided by 10) to each of the first ten years and 1% (10% divided by 10) to each of the second 10 years. The current service cost in each year reflects the probability that the employee may not complete the necessary period of service to earn part or all of the benefits. For employees expected to leave within 10 years, no benefit is attributed.

AG11. A post-employment medical plan reimburses 10% of an employee's post-employment medical costs if the employee leaves after more than 10 and less than 20 years of service, and 50% of those costs if the employee leaves after 20 or more years of service.

Service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier years. Therefore, for employees expected to leave after 20 or more years, the entity attributes benefit on a straight-line basis under paragraph 73. Service beyond 20 years will lead to no material amount of further benefits. Therefore, the benefit attributed to each of the first 20 years is 2.5% of the present value of the expected medical costs (50% divided by 20).

For employees expected to leave between 10 and 20 years, the benefit attributed to each of the first 10 years is 1% of the present value of the expected medical costs. For these employees, no benefit is attributed to service between the end of the 10th year and the estimated date of leaving.

For employees expected to leave within 10 years, no benefit is attributed.


Example Illustrating Paragraph 76: Attributing Benefits to Accounting Periods

AG12. Employees are entitled to a benefit of 3% of final salary for each year of service before the age of 55.

Benefit of 3% of estimated final salary is attributed to each year up to the age of 55. This is the date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits under the plan. No benefit is attributed to service after that age.

Example Illustrating Paragraphs 94 and 95: Contributions from employees or third parties

AG13. The accounting requirements for contributions from employees or third parties are illustrated in the diagram below.



(1) This dotted arrow means that an entity is permitted to choose either accounting

Example Illustrating Paragraphs 162-173: Termination Benefits

AG14. Background

As a result of a recent acquisition, an entity plans to close a factory in 10 months and, at that time, terminate the employment of all of the remaining employees at the factory. Because the entity needs the expertise of the employees at the factory to complete some contracts, it announces a plan of termination as follows.

Each employee who stays and renders service until the closure of the factory will receive on the termination date a cash payment of Rs. 30,000. Employees leaving before closure of the factory will receive Rs. 10,000.

There are 120 employees at the factory. At the time of announcing the plan, the entity expects 20 of them to leave before closure. Therefore, the total expected cash outflows under the plan are Rs. 3,200,000 (i.e., $20 \times \text{Rs. } 10,000 + 100 \times \text{Rs. } 30,000$). As required by paragraph 163, the entity accounts for benefits provided for

termination of employment as termination benefits and accounts for benefits provided for services as short-term employee benefits.

Termination benefits

The benefit provided for termination of employment is Rs. 10,000. This is the amount that an entity would have to pay for terminating the employment regardless of whether the employees stay and render service until closure of the factory or they leave before closure. Even though the employees can leave before closure, the termination of all employees' employment is a result of the entity's decision to close the factory and terminate their employment (i.e., all employees will leave employment when the factory closes). Therefore the entity recognises a liability of Rs. 1,200,000 (i.e., $120 \times \text{Rs. } 10,000$) for the termination benefits provided in accordance with the employee benefit plan at the earlier of when the plan of termination is announced and when the entity recognises the restructuring costs associated with the closure of the factory.

Benefits provided for service

The incremental benefits that employees will receive if they provide services for the full ten-month period are for services provided over that period. The entity accounts for them as short-term employee benefits because the entity expects to settle them before twelve months after the end of the reporting period. In this example, discounting is not required, so an expense of Rs. 200,000 (i.e., $\text{Rs. } 2,000,000 \div 10$) is recognised in each month during the service period of 10 months, with a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the liability.

Appendix 1

Note: This Appendix is not a part of the Accounting Standard for Local Bodies. The purpose of this Appendix is only to bring out the major differences, if any, between Accounting Standard for Local Bodies (ASLB) 39 and the corresponding International Public Sector Accounting Standard (IPSAS) 39, '*Employee Benefits*'.

Comparison with IPSAS 39, '*Employee Benefits*'

1. Different terminologies have been used in the draft ASLB 39 as compared to corresponding IPSAS 39, e.g., the terms 'balance sheet', 'entities' and 'surplus-sharing & bonuses' have been used in place of 'statement of financial position', 'public sector entities' and 'profit-sharing and bonuses'.
2. The following paragraphs of IPSAS 39 have been deleted. However, the same paragraph numbers have been retained in order to maintain consistency with the corresponding IPSAS 39:
 - (i) Paragraph 134 pertaining to offsetting criteria of asset of plan against a liability relating to another plan provided similar to those of financial instruments has been deleted as ASLB corresponding to IPSAS on '*Financial Statements*' is not proposed to be issued in future.
 - (ii) Paragraph 143 (e) of IPSAS 39 pertaining to disclosure of effect of changes in foreign exchange rates has been deleted as it is not relevant for Local Bodies in Indian context.
 - (iii) In order to simplify the disclosure requirements in respect of Defined Benefit Plan, a few disclosure requirements have been removed in draft ASLB 39. In this regard, paragraph number 137 (c), 138-140, 141 (a)(ii-iii), 141(b), 147-149, have been deleted.
 - (iv) Paragraph 175 pertaining to transitional provisions have been deleted as a separate ASLB corresponding to IPSAS 33, '*First-time Adoption of IPSASs*' is being formulated which would contain all transitional provisions at one place.
 - (v) Paragraphs 176-177 pertaining to effective date have been deleted as draft ASLB 39 would become mandatory for Local Bodies in a State from the date specified by the State Government concerned.
3. Disclosure requirements in Paragraph 143 (c) and 144 have been modified in order to simplify the disclosure requirements. One disclosure requirements in Paragraph 144 (e) has been incorporated.

4. Paragraph 7A inserted with regard to applicability of ASLBs in line with other issued ASLBs.
5. With regard to concept of entities under common control, a footnote has been incorporated for clarification.
6. Paragraph 81 has been modified to remove example pertaining to hyperinflationary economy as this concept does not seem relevant in Indian context.
7. With regard to financial instruments and qualifying insurance policy, the reference of paragraph 15 of ASLB 3, '*Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*' has been provided in the draft ASLB 39 in the footnote for guidance. (paragraph 8)
8. Appendix B of IPSAS 39 has been deleted as revision of already issued ASLBs will be taken up at later stage.
9. Consequential changes resulting from the above departures have been made in the draft ASLB 39.

Appendix 2

Note: This Appendix is not a part of the Accounting Standard for Local Bodies. The purpose of this Appendix is only to bring out the major differences, if any, between draft Accounting Standard for Local Bodies (ASLB) 39 and the existing Accounting Standard (AS) 15, 'Employee Benefits'.

Comparison with Existing AS 15, 'Employee Benefits'

1. In ASLB 39, employee benefits arising from constructive obligations are also covered whereas existing AS 15 does not deal with the same. (Paragraph 4(c) of ASLB 39)
2. As per existing AS 15, the term 'employee' includes whole-time directors and other management personnel whereas under ASLB 39 the term 'employee' includes key management personnel. (Paragraph 7 of ASLB 39)
3. ASLB 39 uses different terminology, in certain instances, from existing AS 15, e.g., the terms 'controlling entity', 'controlled entity' and 'surplus-sharing & bonuses' have been used in ASLB 39 in place of 'parent', 'subsidiaries' and 'profit-sharing and bonuses'.
4. ASLB 39 deals with situations where there is a contractual agreement between a multi-employer plan and its participants that determine how the surplus in the plan will be distributed to the participants (or the deficit funded). (Paragraph 37 of ASLB 39) Existing AS 15 does not deal with it.
5. As per ASLB 39, participation in a defined benefit plan sharing risks between various entities under common control is a related party transaction for each controlled entity and some disclosures are required in the separate or individual financial statements of an entity whereas existing AS 15 does not contain similar provisions. (Paragraph 42-43 of ASLB 39)
6. ASLB 39 encourages, but does not require, an entity to involve a qualified actuary in the measurement of all material post-employment benefit obligations whereas AS 15 though does not require involvement of a qualified actuary, does not specifically encourage the same. (Paragraph 61 of ASLB 39)
7. Actuarial valuation is based on certain assumptions. Changes in these assumptions give rise to actuarial gains and losses, for example, changes in estimates of salary or medical cost. Draft ASLB 39 requires the recognition of actuarial gains and losses in Net Asset/Equity. However, AS 15 requires recognition of the same immediately in the profit and loss.

8. Under ASLB 39, more guidance has been given for timing of recognition of termination benefits. Recognition criteria for termination benefits prescribed under ASLB 39 is different from the criteria prescribed in AS 15.
9. As per existing AS 15, the rate used to discount post-employment benefit obligations should always be determined by reference to market yields at the balance sheet date on government bond. The requirement in ASLB 39 is that entities apply a rate that reflects the time value of money. ASLB 39 also contains a requirement that entities disclose the basis on which the discount rate has been determined.
10. ASLB 39 includes a rebuttable presumption that long-term disability payments are not usually subject to the same degree of uncertainty as the measurement of post-employment benefits. Where this presumption is rebutted, the entity considers whether some or all long-term disability payments should be accounted for in the same way as for post-employment benefits. Existing AS 15 does not include such rebuttable presumption.